RECEIVED FEC MAIL ROOM 2001 JUN 18 À 10: 25

Wednesday, June 13, 2001

Mr. Scott Walker Report Analysis Division Federal Election Commission Washington, DC 20463

Dear Mr. Walker,

I am responding to your inquiry of 6/6/01 concerning the expenditure of \$2550 to Little Saigon Radio by our PAC in support of the Gore/Leiberman campaign as reported in our letter to you of 3/02/01.

What happened is this: the \$2550 was the original contracted amount. We actually spent \$3825 in two payments of \$850 and \$2975. The \$850 was already reported. I shall now amend my reports to further reflect the payment of \$2975. (But the revised contract amount actually showed \$1275+\$2550= \$3825, the same as actually paid by us. The attached memo between our assistant treasurer and our California employee Tim Chen explains this in detail).

Thank you for bringing in this to our attention. I hope this explanation satisfies FEC.

Yours sincerely,

Vu-C∤u Mo

Treasurer, 80-20 PAC 351 N. Emerson Road

Lexington, MA 02420

Treasurer 80-20 PAC

yuchiho30

"timothy chen" <timochen@pacbell.net>* From: "Katy Woo" <kwoo@UDel.Edu> — As 573 - Laudt

To: <ho@hrl,harvard.edu> Cc:

Tuesday, June 12, 2001 7:33 PM Sent:

Re: FEC reporting Subject:

Hi Katy: I am fexing you the notarized report together with the only two invoices from The Little Saigon Radio in LA. I noticed their figure on their individual invoice don't match with our contract. Phillip, (their Rep explained that their accounting system cub by each-month and if our contract cross over two months (such is the case we are in) they have to go by billing by the end of the month. But, the total of the two contract figures came out the / same [\$1,275.00+\$2,550.00= \$3,825]? If FEC has a problem with the technicality. I'll have to ask Little Salgon to reissue two invoices jiving With the original contract amounts to conform with their rule. But, if they accept, that's the best.

Please let me know what your thoughts are Larry. I was confused for a while with their internal accounting system.

Regards,

Timothy

Katy Woo wrote:

```
> Thanks, Timothy.
> On Tue, 12 Jun 2001, timothy chen wrote:
> > Hi Katy: I'll fax you a copy of the report. But, I am also waiting
> > for Little Saigon LA's Invoice copies. My figures are based on
> > whats is been shown on contracts that we negotiated with the
> stations. With Little Saigon, discreption may occur if they
> > invoice us different figures which requires explanations. This is
> > why I am waiting for the person we talked to last year to enlighten
> > us on the issue.
> > Please be advised the faxed copy to you are identical ones with what
> > was sent to Larry few months ago.
> >
> > Timothy
> >
> > Katy Woo wrote:
> > > Timothy:
> > Please FAX me a copy of your notarized report. I'm unable to open
> > attachments on my computer. Below are what my records show as far as
> > payments to Little Saigon Radio (no single payment for $2556):
> > 9/22 Ck #198 $1275 | this check was NEVER cashed. It's now VOIDED)
> > > 11/7 Ck #233 $2975
      12723 CK 245 850 J
> > > The above is NOT to be confused with Little Saigon TV payments or VABC
> > > (Saigon) Radio.
 > > > VABC Saigon Radio:
```

Federal Election Commission

ENVELOPE REPLACEMENT PAGE

FOR INCOMING DOCUMENTS The Commission has added this page to the end of this filing to indicate how it was received. Date of Receipt Hand Delivered POSTMARKED First Class Mail 6-14-01 POSTMARKED (R/C) Registered/Certified Mail No Postmark Postmark Illegible Date of Receipt Received from the House office of Records and Registration Date of Receipt Received from the Senate Office of Public Records Postmarked Other (Specify): and/or Date of Receipt Electronic Filing

Ge 1	6-18-01
EPARER	DATE PRÉPARED